

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERS United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,658	06/26/2003	Thimmappa Shivanandappa	39562-189637	4020
26694	7590 03/29/2004		EXAM	INER
VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385			REYES, HECTOR M	
	ON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,658	SHIVANANDAPPA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hector M Reyes	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication. of 37 depth of the statutory minimum of thirt tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2l     Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b) This action is non-final.  or allowance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6 and 10</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6 and 10</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restricting the subject	e withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		ige.
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		·
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 1)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Preper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	O-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Art Unit: 1625

्र ५ - २५

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Status of The Claims**

Claims 2-4, 7-9 and 11 to 14 have been canceled via preliminary amendment. Currently claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 are under Examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the <u>potential application</u> of a giving compound as a drug is not considered an invention statutorily.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility or a well established utility. A potential treatment lacks utility because it is only an allegation or possibility.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,658

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 are indefinite because it is unclear what is the invention being claimed. The said claims contain more than one invention: a compound and methods of using the same in the same body claim. Thus, they are considered hybrid claims. For instance, in claim 1, it is not clear if the invention being claimed is the compound described as Formula (I) or on the other hand a method of using the said compound in the inhibition of acetylcholinesterase.

Moreover, in claim 1, it is unclear the meaning of the phrase "having primarily.." Is there a secondary enzyme to be inhibited by the said compound? In addition, the phrase "is obtained from fungus *Sporotrichum* species" is indefinite because it is not clear if it refers back to the source of the enzyme or to the compound. If the said phrase refers back to the compound, Is the activity of the compound dependable from the method for obtaining the compound? Would it be expected the same activity from the said compound even tough it is prepared by an alternative method?

Alternatively claims 5, 6 and 10 are rejected because the said claims are duplicated claims of claim 1. Claim 5, 6 and 10 are directed to "a compound..." that already has been claimed in claim 1.

In claim 10, the phrase "having potential application as a drug for..." is indefinite. An invention cannot be defined as a possibility of an invention. The said phrase implies that it is unknown if there is indeed a method of treating Alzheimer's disease or dementia by using the said compound. How <u>potential</u> treatments would comply for example, with the utility or enablement requirements?

### Allowable Subject Matter

A compound having the formula (I) was not disclosed or suggest in the prior art. The only reference found disclosing the said compound was WO 2003082794, which is not considered prior art because it is not prior to the instant Application.

### CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Hector M. Reyes, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0691. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 4:30pm.

If attemps to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner 's supervisor Ms. Rita Desai can be reached at (571) 272-0684.

Hector M. Reyes, AU 1625 Reg # P-54,846 March 22, 2004

RITA DESAI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Wesar.